

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.7828 OF 2006

Shri Bhausahab @ Adappa Bharmu
Chougule ...Petitioner
v/s
he District Resettlement Officer,
Kolhapur and ors. ...Respondents

Mr N.J. Patil for Petitioner.
Mr V.S. Gokhale, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos.1 to 4.

CORAM : **D.K. DESHMUKH J.**
DATE : **6TH JULY 2007.**

P.C.

:-

1. By this petition, the order passed by the Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division, Pune on a revision filed by the petitioner is challenged. Copy of the revision application is not produced. Therefore, there is no way to understand the case that was urged by the petitioner in the revision application. The learned counsel appearing for petitioner states that the same points which are enumerated in the order of the Divisional Commissioner were raised by him. The first point is that the objection which was raised by the petitioner to the notice issued under section 4(1) of the Land Acquisition Act is illegal. A copy of the objection filed by the petitioner after receiving notice under section 4(1) of the said Act is not produced on record. On the basis of the material that is produced on record it is not possible to understand what is the grievance of the petitioner. The petitioner does not have any explanation why he has not filed copy of his memorandum of revision, objections alongwith the petition. Petition is therefore rejected.

. Parties to act on the copy of this order duly authenticated by the Sheristedar / Private Secretary as

true copy.

.

Certified copy expedited.
